Dominoreaktionen

DOI: 10.1002/ange.201205823

Tandem Brønsted Acid Promoted and Nazarov Carbocyclizations of Enyne Acetals to Hydroazulenones**

*Luz Escalante, Carlos González-Rodríguez, Jesús A. Varela, and Carlos Saá**

The presence of hydroazulen(on)e skeletons in many bioactive natural products, such as guanacastepene[1] or pleocarpenone,[2] has resulted in sustained interest in their synthesis. A wide variety of approaches have been described.[3] The most common approaches, other than the use of rearrangement reactions,[3,4] have started from the five-membered ring, on which the seven-membered ring has been assembled by ring enlargement, reductive cyclization, metathesis, or aldol condensation.[3,5] Alternative methods have involved the construction of the five-membered ring on the seven-membered ring and have employed metathesis, aldol condensation, ring expansion, or Nazarov cyclization.[3,6]

Highly efficient approaches in which both rings are created in one pot have also been developed, most of them involve metal-catalyzed cycloaddition reactions (Scheme 1).[7–14] Thus Wender et al. have used both intramolecular Rh-catalyzed [5+2] cycloaddition (Scheme 1, path a)[7a] and intermolecular tandem Rh-catalyzed [5+2]/Nazarov cyclization;[7b] Trost et al. have explored [5+2] cyclization protocols using Ru catalysts;[8] Mascareñas and co-workers have employed Pd- and Pt-catalyzed [4+3] cycloaddition[9] (Scheme 1, path b);[10] and Ahmar et al.,[11a] Brummond et al.[11b] and Mukai et al.[11c] have reported various approaches employing allenic reactions of the Pauson–Khand type (Scheme 1, path c).[12] Strategies based on the cyclization of acyclic dienynes, mediated[13a] or catalyzed[13b] by metal carbenes, have also been reported (Scheme 1, paths d and e).[14]

As a contribution to the development of metal-free, environmentally less hazardous synthetic methods,[15] we recently described an efficient intramolecular cyclization of alkynals promoted by Brønsted acids.[16,17] Herein we report its use in tandem with a Nazarov cyclization[7b,18] to construct hydroazulenone skeletons **2** from enyne acetals **1**[19] (Scheme 1).[20]



Scheme 1. Metal-catalyzed and tandem enyne acetal-Nazarov Brønsted acid promoted carbocyclizations to hydroazulen(on)es.

Initially, we subjected enyne acetal **1a** (Table 1), to the optimized reaction conditions identified in our previous work (heating in DCE in the presence of excess trifluoroacetic acid).[16] Cyclization proceeded smoothly to give an almost equimolar mixture of enones **2a** and **3a** in excellent combined yield (Table 1, entry 1). When the reaction was carried out at room temperature a similar yield was obtained but there was a higher proportion of **2a** (Table 1, entry 2). The major regioisomer was the single-bond-fused bicycle **2a**, which is the thermodynamically less-stable isomer.[21] This bicycle can potentially be further functionalized in the seven-membered ring for future applications;[6f,22] therefore we proceeded to seek reaction conditions to optimize this regioselectivity.

The use of HBF_4 instead of TFA as acid resulted in an increase in the **2a**/**3a** ratio to 3:1 (Table 1, entries 3 and 4). When the reaction temperature was lowered to −15 °C the regioselectivity increased to 4.5:1, but a lower yield was obtained (Table 1, entry 5). No reaction occurred if the amount of HBF_4 was reduced from 3 to 1 equivalents (Table 1, entry 6). With $BF_3{\cdot}OEt_2$ or H_2SO_4 as acid, yields were low to moderate and **3a** was slightly favored over **2a** (Table 1, entries 7 and 8). Formation of enone **3a** was also favored when triflimide was employed, and it was the exclusive product when triflic acid was used,[20c] although in both cases yields were low (Table 1, entries 9 and 10).[23] When the aldehyde corresponding to acetal **1a** was used as the starting compound and HBF_4 as the acid, both the yield and the **2a**/**3a** ratio decreased (compare Table 1, entries 4 and 11), undoubtedly because the reaction intermediate was less

[*] L. Escalante, Dr. C. González-Rodríguez, Dr. J. A. Varela, Prof. C. Saá
Departamento de Química Orgánica y Centro Singular de Investigación en Química Biológica y Materiales Moleculares (CIQUS)
Universidad de Santiago de Compostela
15782 Santiago de Compostela (Spain)
E-mail: carlos.saa@usc.es
Homepage: http://www.usc.es/gi1603/saa

[**] We thank the MICINN [Projects CTQ2011-28258, Consolider Ingenio 2010 (CSD2007-00006)] and Xunta de Galicia (2007/XA084 and CN2011/054) for financial support. L.E. thanks Fundayacucho (Venezuela) and Xunta de Galicia for predoctoral grants and C. G.-R. thanks the MICINN for a Juan de la Cierva Contract (JCI-2011-09946).

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.201205823.

Table 1: Brønsted acid promoted carbocyclization of enyne acetal **1a** to hydroazulenones **2a** and **3a**.[a]



Entry	Brønsted acid	T [°C]	t [h]	**2a**/**3a**[b]	Yield [%][c]
1	TFA (20 equiv)	90[d]	0.5	1.2:1	93
2	TFA (20 equiv)	20[d]	3	1.8:1	96
3	HBF_4 (3 equiv)	20	0.5	2.5:1	84
4	HBF_4 (3 equiv)	0	1	3:1	89
5	HBF_4 (3 equiv)	−15	1.3	4.5:1	63
6	HBF_4 (1 equiv)	20	72	–	–
7	$BF_3{\cdot}OEt_2$ (3 equiv)	20	1.5	1:1.6	39
8	H_2SO_4 (3 equiv)	20	0.7	1:1.6	60
9	TfOH (3 equiv)	20	0.4	0:1	39
10	Tf_2NH (3 equiv)	0	0.4	1:2.6	47
11[e]	HBF_4 (3 equiv)	0	0.6	2.3:1	52

[a] Reaction conditions: **1a** (0.3 mmol), CH_2Cl_2 (3 mL). [b] Regioisomers **2a** and **3a** are easily separated by chromatography. [c] Combined yields of the isolated products. [d] DCE as solvent. [e] With the aldehyde corresponding to acetal **1a** as starting compound (0.3 mmol). DCE = 1,2-dichloroethane, Tf = trifluoromethanesulfonyl, TFA = trifluoroacetic acid.

electrophilic.[24] In view of these results, in most subsequent experiments we used the reaction conditions of entry 4 (referred to below as optimized conditions A) or entry 2 (optimized conditions B).

The relative configuration of **2a** corresponds to the conrotatory ring closure of an *E* olefin, thus indicating that, under the reaction conditions, the divinyl ketone intermediate might undergo a *Z*-to-*E* isomerization prior to the Nazarov cyclization (Scheme 1).[25]

Once the viability of the tandem diastereoselective process had been established we explored its scope, initially by applying it to the β-substituted enyne acetals **1b–e** (see Table 2), in which, as in **1a**, the tether between the acetal and the triple bond includes a quaternary carbon with two COOMe substituents. Surprisingly, cyclization of **1b**, the *trans* isomer of **1a**, under optimized conditions A gave a lower yield and **2a**/**3a** ratio than that of **1a** (1.5:1; Table 2, entry 2); this result indicates that a more complex equilibrium of intermediates could affect the regioselectivity of the reaction.[26] Not unexpectedly, the styrene-containing enyne acetal **1c** was one of the least reactive substrates (66%; Table 2, entry 3), whereas the reaction of the β,β-disubstituted **1e** was one of the more higher yielding reactions (84%, Table 2, entry 5). The results of the reaction of **1d** were better than we expected; the presence of the bulky *tert*-butyl β substituent resulted in an increased **2**/**3** ratio of 1:0 (Table 2, entry 4).

We next investigated the effect of modifying the tether. Satisfyingly, moderate to good yields and complete regioselectivity were achieved with the appropriate substituents; the reaction of the substrate containing geminal methyl groups adjacent to the alkyne fragment gave only **3f** (Table 2,

Table 2: Hydroazulenones and azahydroazulenones prepared by tandem carbocyclizations of β-substituted enyne acetals **1a–j**.[a,b]



Z = $C(CO_2Me)_2$, CH_2; Y = CH_2
Z = CH_2; Y = $C(CO_2Me)_2$, NTs

Entry	Enyne acetal	Hydroazulenone[c]	**2**/**3**	Yield[d] [%]
1	 **1a**	 **2a**	3:1	89
2	 **1b**	 **2a**	1.5:1 (1:1.5)	45 (62)[e]
3	 **1c**	 **2c**	2:1	66
4	 **1d**	 **2d**	1:0	62
5	 **1e**	 **2e**	2:1	84
6	 **1f**	 **3f**	0:1	77
7	 **1g**	 **2g**	1:0	63
8	 **1h**	 **2h**	1[f]:1	73
9	 **1i**	 **3i**	1:3	76
10	 (*Z*)-**1j**	 **2j**	2:1	80

Table 2: (Continued)

Entry	Enyne acetal	Hydroazulenone[c]	2/3	Yield[d] [%]
11	 (*E*)-**1j**	 **2j**	4.5:1	72

[a] Conditions A: **1** (0.3 mmol), HBF_4 (0.9 mmol), CH_2Cl_2 (3 mL), 0 °C, 20–60 min. [b] X = $C(CO_2Me)_2$. [c] Only the major regioisomer is shown. [d] Combined yields of the isolated products. [e] Conditions B: **4** (0.3 mmol), TFA (6.0 mmol), DCE (3 mL), RT, 30 min. [f] Compound **2h** was obtained as a 2:1 mixture of *trans* and *cis* diastereomers as a result of the partial isomerization of the divinylketone intermediate (only the major diastereomer is shown).[25] Ts = *p*-toluenesulfonyl.

entry 6) and that of methyl ketal **1g** gave only **2g** (Table 2, entry 7). Interestingly, when the substrate not containing the CO_2Me groups was used, thus eliminating the Thorpe–Ingold effect,[27] the cyclization still occurred, but with slightly reduced yield and no regioselectivity (Table 2, entry 8).[28] The opposite regioselectivity was obtained (that is **3** was favored) when the substrate with the $C(CO_2Me)_2$ unit placed closer to the acetal fragment (Table 2 entry 9); this result probably due to the change of the original conformation of the seven-membered ring. Gratifyingly, this tandem reaction could be used to prepare azabicycles, as confirmed by the successful reactions of the tertiary amines (*Z*)-**1j** and (*E*)-**1j**, which afforded cyclopenta[*c*]azepinones[29] with good yields and a **2**/**3** ratio of up to 4.5:1 in the case of (*E*)-**1j** (Table 2, entries 10 and 11).

The tandem reaction was equally successful when applied to α-substituted substrates **4** (Table 3), although to our initial surprise the major product afforded by enyne acetal **4a** was hydroazulenone **6a**, a bicyclic enone with both bridgeheads saturated and *cis* stereochemistry (Table 3, entry 1). When the α substituent was *tert*-butyl the reaction was totally regioselective (Table 3, entry 2). In contrast, when the α substituent was TMS, which was lost during the reaction, the other regioisomer was formed with high selectivity (Table 3, entry 3). Cyclization of the α,β-unsubstituted enyne acetal **4d** gave a similar result but required a longer reaction time (14 h vs. 5 h, Table 3, entry 4), thus suggesting that desilylation most likely occurred after the Nazarov cyclization[30,31] Finally, the toluenesulfonamide **4e** afforded cyclopenta[*c*]-azepinones **5e** and **6e** with a moderate combined yield (Table 3, entry 5).

We believe the mechanism of the tandem carbocyclizations of enyne acetals **1** and **4** most likely starts with the Brønsted acid induced formation of the electrophilic oxonium species **A** (Scheme 2).[32] This cationic species would undergo standard heteroalkyne metathesis to the oxete intermediate **B** (through [2+2] cycloaddition),[17a] which upon ring opening would give the divinyl ketone **C**. Subsequently, the Brønsted acid would induce a stereospecific Nazarov reaction ($4\pi e^-$ electrocyclization)[18] of this unpolarized[25d] dienone **C** to give one of two possible oxyallyl cations, **D** and **E**, depending whether the starting compound had been β or α substituted. Proton elimination and enol-to-ketone tautomerization

Table 3: Hydroazulenones prepared by tandem carbocyclization of α-substituted enyne acetals **4a**–**e**.[a,b]



4 **5** **6**

Z = $C(CO_2Me)_2$; Y = CH_2
Z = CH_2; Y = NTs

Entry	Enyne acetal	Hydroazulenone[c]	5/6	Yield[d] [%]
1[e]	 **4a**	 **6a**	1:2	72
2	 **4b**	 **6b**	0:1	58
3[f]	 **4c**	 **5c**	1:0	85[g] (5 h)
4[f]	 **4d**	 **5c**	1:0	79[g] (14 h)
5	 **4e**	 **5e**	2:1	52

[a] Conditions A: **4** (0.3 mmol), HBF_4 (0.9 mmol), CH_2Cl_2 (3 mL), 0 °C, 20–60 min. [b] X = $C(CO_2Me)_2$. [c] Only the major regioisomer is shown. [d] Combined yields of the isolated products. [e] Conditions B: **4** (0.3 mmol), TFA (6.0 mmol), DCE (3 mL), RT, 30 min. [f] Conditions B but at 90 °C. [g] A low yield of the cyclic enone **2k** (15%, 24%) was also obtained in the tandem carbocyclization of **4c** and **4d**, respectively. TMS = trimethylsilyl.



2k

X = $C(CO_2Me)_2$

would finally afford the observed bicyclo[5,3,0]decenones **2**, **3**, **5**, and **6**.

As expected, the regioselectivity of the reaction seems to depend to a large extent on the position of the alkene substituent, α or β, and on the nature of the tether, through its influence on the conformation of the oxyallyl cation intermediate.[6f,18b–e,33] In most cases, it is the single-bond-fused product that is favored.

Finally, we investigated the effect of linked α and β substituents by replacing the terminal alkene group with thiophene systems (Scheme 3).[34] To our delight, the attractive tricyclic systems **8** and **10** were obtained in good yields from the 2- and 3-thiophenyl species **7** and **9**, respectively.

In summary, we have developed a new, simple, and versatile method for the synthesis of hydroazulenones from



Scheme 2. Mechanistic rationale for tandem Brønsted acid promoted carbocyclizations from enyne acetals to hydroazulenones.



Scheme 3. Preparation of hydroazulenothiophenones **8** and **10** by tandem carbocyclization of enyne acetals **7** and **9**, respectively.

linear enyne acetals. This metal-free procedure may be described as taking place through tandem Brønsted acid promoted carbocyclizations, a regioselective *exo*-carbocyclization previously applied to alkynals,[16] and a stereospecific Nazarov cyclization. The new approach complements previously described methods based on metal-catalyzed cyclizations. Its full scope is currently being further investigated by application to a number of challenging cyclizations.

Received: July 23, 2012
Published online: November 4, 2012

Keywords: Brønsted acids · carbocyclizations · enynes · hydroazulenone · Nazarov cyclizations

[1] a) S. F. Brady, M. P. Singh, J. E. Janso, J. Clardy, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 2116 – 2117; b) S. F. Brady, S. M. Bondi, J. Clardy, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 9900 – 9901; c) M. P. Singh, J. E. Janso, S. W. Luckman, S. F. Brady, J. Clardy, M. Greenstein, W. M. Maiese, *J. Antibiot.* **2000**, *53*, 256 – 261.

[2] M. J. Williams, H. L. Deak, M. L. Snapper, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 486 – 487.

[3] D. A. Foley, A. R. Maguire, *Tetrahedron* **2010**, *66*, 1131 – 1175, and references therein.

[4] a) P. M. Wovkulich in *Comprehensive Organic Synthesis*, *Vol. 1* (Eds.: B. M. Trost, I. Fleming), Pergamon, New York, **1991**, chap. 3.3, pp. 733 – 775.

[5] For ring enlargement, see: a) B. B. Snider, N. A. Hawryluk, *Org Lett.* **2001**, *3*, 569 – 572; b) W. D. Shipe, E. J. Sorensen, *Org. Lett.* **2002**, *4*, 2063 – 2066; c) G. L. Mislin, M. Miesch, *J. Org. Chem.* **2003**, *68*, 433 – 441; for reductive cyclization, see: d) G. B. Dudley, S. J. Danishefsky, *Org. Lett.* **2001**, *3*, 2399 – 2402; for metathesis, see: e) M. F. Schneider, H. Junga, S. Blechert, *Tetrahedron* **1995**, *51*, 13003 – 13014; f) L. A. Paquette, F. Gallou, Z. Zhao, D. G. Young, J. Liu, J. Yang, D. Friedrich, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 9610 – 9620; g) G. Mehta, J. D. Umarye, *Org. Lett.* **2002**, *4*, 1063 – 1066; h) A. Nakazaki, U. Sharma, M. A. Tius, *Org Lett* **2002**, *4*, 3363 – 3366; for aldol condensation, see: i) W. Tochtermann, S. Bruhn, M. Meints, C. Wolff, *Tetrahedron* **1994**, *50*, 9657 – 9670; for other synthetic approaches to hydroazulenes starting from the five-membered ring, see: j) J. Lee, H. Kim, J. K. Cha, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1995**, *117*, 9919 – 9920; k) D. Schinzer, K. Ringe, *Tetrahedron* **1996**, *52*, 7475 – 7485; l) C. C. Hughes, J. J. Kennedy-Smith, D. Trauner, *Org. Lett.* **2003**, *5*, 4113 – 4115.

[6] For metathesis, see: a) T. J. Brocksom, U. Brocksom, D. Frederico, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2004**, *45*, 9289 – 9291; for aldol condensation, see: b) B. Föhlisch, R. Flogaus, G. H. Henle, S. Sendelbach, S. Henkel, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2006**, 2160 – 2173; for ring expansion, see: c) S. Carret, J.-P. Depres, *Angew. Chem.* **2007**, *119*, 6994 – 6997; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46*, 6870 – 6873; for Nazarov cyclizations, see: d) E. A. Braude, W. F. Forbes, *Nature* **1951**, *168*, 874 – 875; e) S. E. Denmark, T. K. Jones, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1982**, *104*, 2642 – 2645; f) P. Chiu, S. Li, *Org. Lett.* **2004**, *6*, 613 – 616; for other synthetic approaches to hydroazulenes starting from the seven-membered ring, see: g) A. M. Montana, K. M. Nicholas, *J. Org. Chem.* **1990**, *55*, 1569 – 1578.

[7] a) P. A. Wender, H. Takahashi, B. Witulski, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1995**, *117*, 4720 – 4721; b) P. A. Wender, R. T. Stemmler, L. E. Sirois, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 2532 – 2533.

[8] a) B. M. Trost, F. D. Toste, H. Shen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 2379 – 2380; b) B. M. Trost, J. Waser, A. Meyer, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 14556 – 14557.

[9] For an early report of an intramolecular allyl cationic [4+3] cycloaddition in the presence of Tf_2O, see: R. J. Giguere, S. M. Duncan, J. M. Bean, L. Purvis, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1988**, *29*, 6071 – 6074.

[10] a) M. Gulías, J. Duran, F. López, L. Castedo, J. L. Mascareñas, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 11026 – 11027; b) B. Trillo, F. López, M. Gulías, L. Castedo, J. L. Mascareñas, *Angew. Chem.* **2008**, *120*, 965 – 968; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 951 – 954.

[11] a) M. Ahmar, C. Locatelli, D. Colombier, B. Cazes, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1997**, *38*, 5281 – 5284; b) K. M. Brummond, H. Chen, K. D. Fisher, A. D. Kerekes, B. Rickards, P. C. Sill, S. J. Geib, *Org. Lett.* **2002**, *4*, 1931 – 1934; c) C. Mukai, I. Nomura, K. Yamanishi, M. Hanaoka, *Org. Lett.* **2002**, *4*, 1755 – 1758.

[12] For other examples of cycloaddition procedures, see: a) B. M. Trost, R. I. Higuchi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1996**, *118*, 10094 – 10105; b) Y. Ni, J. Montgomery, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 11162 – 11163.

[13] For an Mo/carbene-mediated cyclization, see: a) D. F. Harvey, K. P. Lund, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1991**, *113*, 5066 – 5068; for examples of domino metathesis reactions, see: b) S.-H. Kim, N. Bowden, R. H. Grubbs, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1994**, *116*, 10801 – 10802.

[14] For a synthesis of a bicyclo[5.3.0]decane core by a one-pot reaction involving aldol condensations, see: M. Mischne, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2003**, *44*, 5823 – 5826.

[15] For recent reviews on Brønsted acid catalysis, see: a) T. Akiyama, *Chem. Rev.* **2007**, *107*, 5744 – 5758; b) D. Kampen, C. M. Reisinger, B. List, *Top. Curr. Chem.* **2010**, *291*, 395 – 456; c) C. H. Cheon, H. Yamamoto, *Chem. Commun.* **2011**, *47*, 3043 – 3056.

[16] C. González-Rodríguez, L. Escalante, J. A. Varela, L. Castedo, C. Saá, *Org. Lett.* **2009**, *11*, 1531 – 1533.

[17] For Brønsted acid and Lewis acid catalyzed carbocyclizations of acetylenic aldehydes or ketones, see: a) C. E. Harding, S. L. King, *J. Org. Chem.* **1992**, *57*, 883 – 886; b) A. Balog, S. J. Geib, D. P. Curran, *J. Org. Chem.* **1995**, *60*, 345 – 352; c) M. F. Wempe, J. R. Grunwell, *J. Org. Chem.* **1995**, *60*, 2714 – 2720; d) J. U. Rhee, M. J. Krische, *Org. Lett.* **2005**, *7*, 2493 – 2495; e) T. Jin, Y. Yamamoto, *Org. Lett.* **2007**, *9*, 5259 – 5262; f) L.-P. Liu, D. Malhotra, Z. Jin, R. S. Paton, K. N. Houk, G. B. Hammond, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2011**, *17*, 10690 – 10699; g) K. Bera, S. Sarkar, S. Biswas, S. Maiti, U. Jana, *J. Org. Chem.* **2011**, *76*, 3539 – 3544; for a review on alkyne activation with Brønsted acids, see: h) Y. Yamamoto, I. D. Gridnev, N. T. Patil, T. Jin, *Chem. Commun.* **2009**, 5075 – 5087.

[18] a) I. N. Nazarov, I. I. Zaretskaya, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Khim.* **1941**, 211 – 224; for recent reviews, see: b) M. A. Tius, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2005**, 2193 – 2206; c) H. Pellissier, *Tetrahedron* **2005**, *61*, 6479 – 6517; d) A. J. Frontier, C. Collison, *Tetrahedron* **2005**, *61*, 7577 – 7606; e) N. Shimada, C. Stewart, M. A. Tius, *Tetrahedron* **2011**, *67*, 5851 – 5870; for recent examples of Nazarov cyclization in tandem processes, see: f) L. Zhang, S. Wang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 1442 – 1443; g) M. Janka, W. He, I. E. Haedicke, F. R. Fronczek, A. J. Frontier, R. Eisenberg, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 5312 – 5313; h) W. Yin, Y. Ma, J. Xu, Y. Zhao, *J. Org. Chem.* **2006**, *71*, 4312 – 4315; i) H.-F. Cui, K.-Y. Dong, G.-W. Zhang, L. Wang, J.-A. Ma, *Chem. Commun.* **2007**, 2284 – 2286; j) G. Lemière, V. Gandon, K. Cariou, T. Fukuyama, A.-L. Dhimane, L. Fensterbank, M. Malacria, *Org. Lett.* **2007**, *9*, 2207 – 2209; k) P. Cao, X.-L. Sun, B.-H. Zhu, Q, Shen, Z. Xie, Y. Tang, *Org. Lett.* **2009**, *11*, 3048 – 3051; l) L. Liu, L. Wei, Y. Lu, J. Zhang, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2010**, *16*, 11813 – 11817; m) M. E. Krafft, D. V. Vidhani, J. W. Cran, M. Manoharan, *Chem. Commun.* **2011**, *47*, 6707 – 6709.

[19] Starting acetals are more readily available than the corresponding aldehydes and give cleaner reactions. For Lewis acid catalyzed carbocyclizations of acetylenic acetals, see: a) T. Xu, Z. Yu, L. Wang, *Org. Lett.* **2009**, *11*, 2113 – 2116; b) T. Xu, Q. Yang, D. Li, J. Dong, Z. Yu, Y. Li, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2010**, *16*, 9264 – 9272.

[20] For tandem combinations of heteroenyne metathesis and Nazarov cyclization, see: a) A. Saito, M. Umakoshi, N. Yagyu, Y. Hanzawa, *Org. Lett.* **2008**, *10*, 1783 – 1785; b) T. Jin, Y. Yamamoto, *Org. Lett.* **2008**, *10*, 3137 – 3139; c) T. Jin, F. Yang, C. Liu, Y. Yamamoto, *Chem. Commun.* **2009**, 3533 – 3535.

[21] After treatment of enone **2a** with HBF_4 (3 equiv) at 0 °C or 20 °C for 24 h the starting material was intact, with total recovery. A similar result was found starting with enone **3a**.

[22] a) T. Tsunoda, M. Amaike, U. S. Tambunan, Y. Fujise, S. Ito, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1987**, *28*, 2537 – 2540; b) I. G. Collado, F. A. Macias, G. M. Massanet, F. R. Luis, *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1* **1987**, 1641 – 1644; c) W. Kübler, O. Petrov, E. Winterfeldt, *Tetrahedron* **1988**, *44*, 4271 – 4388.

[23] The regioselectivity of the reaction seems to be affected by the basicity of the Brönsted acid counterion, with a more basic counterion the kinetic product **2a** is favored. For counterion effects in Nazarov cyclization, see: J. Huang, D. Leboeuf, A. J. Frontier, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 6307 – 6317.

[24] For a related effect, see: K. M. McQuaid, D. Sames, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 402 – 403.

[25] For related cases, see: a) S. Giese, F. G. West, *Tetrahedron* **2000**, *56*, 10221 – 10228; b) Ref [18f]; c) O. Nieto Faza, C. S. Lopez, R. Alvarez, A. R. de Lera, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2004**, *10*, 4324 – 4333; d) W. He, I. R. Herrick, T. A. Atesin, P. A. Caruana, C. A. Kellenberger, A. J. Frontier, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 1003 – 1011.

[26] a) In fact, when the reaction was performed under optimized conditions B (TFA, 20 equiv; 20 °C), the reverse regiosomeric ratio was found (**2a**/**3a**, 1:1.5, 62 % combined yield). b) Careful monitoring by ^{1}H NMR spectroscopy (controlled amounts of HBF_4 added in CD_2Cl_2) showed that a faster but more-complex reaction occurred for the *trans* isomer (**1b**) than for the *cis* isomer (**1a**) thus most probably indicating, a less controlled reaction.

[27] a) R. M. Beesley, C. K. Ingold, J. F. Thorpe, *J. Chem. Soc.* **1915**, *107*, 1080 – 1106; b) G. Hammond in *Steric Effects in Organic Chemistry* (Ed.: M. S. Newman), Wiley, New York, **1956**, pp. 462 – 470.

[28] However, when (*E*)-**1h** was employed (not shown), a 3:1 ratio was obtained, with moderately good yield. See the Supporting Information for details.

[29] For the thermal rearrangement of annelated azepines, see: a) L. A. Paquette, D. E. Kuhla, J. H. Barrett, *J. Org. Chem.* **1969**, *34*, 2879 – 2884; for a radical approach to azepines, see: b) A. J. Blake, G. H. Hollingworth, G. Pattenden, *Synlett* **1996**, 643 – 644; for an Rh-catalyzed [3+2] cycloaddition to annelated azepines, see: c) Q. Li, G.-J. Jiang, L. Jiao, Z.-X. Yu, *Org. Lett.* **2010**, *12*, 1332 – 1335.

[30] a) M. E. Krafft, L. V. R. Boñaga, A. S. Felts, C. Hirosawa, S. Kerrigan, *J. Org. Chem.* **2003**, *68*, 6039 – 6042; b) A. de Meijere, H. Becker, A. Stolle, S. I. Kozhushkov, M. T. Bes, J. Salaün, M. Notemeyer, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2005**, *11*, 2471 – 2482.

[31] When there was a TMS group in the β position, this substrate afforded a complex mixture instead of undergoing the regio- and stereocontrolled silyl-directed Nazarov cyclization described in Ref. [6e]. See the Supporting Information for details.

[32] For the alternative route from **1** to divinyl ketone **C** that is shown in Scheme 2 (direct addition of the activated alkyne to the cationic carbon of the carbonyl group), see Refs [16] and [20c].

[33] a) J. Ichikawa, S. Miyazaki, M. Fujiwara, T. Minami, *J. Org. Chem.* **1995**, *60*, 2320 – 2321; b) M. Harmata, *Chemtracts: Org. Chem.* **2004**, *17*, 416 – 435.

[34] For an example of a Nazarov cyclization employing heteroaromatic compounds, see: J. A. Malona, J. M. Colbourne, A. J. Frontier, *Org. Lett.* **2006**, *8*, 5661 – 5664.

